

5/42

Fri. Sept. ⑦

①

- today we will finish our discussion of Debye's theory
- to recap from last time, the expectation value of energy for a QHO

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_n E_n e^{-\beta E_n}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar \omega}{e^{\beta \hbar \omega} - 1}$$

- to find the total energy of a solid, we multiply $\langle E \rangle$ by $g(\omega) d\omega$ and integrate

$$E = \int_0^{\infty} \langle E \rangle g(\omega) d\omega$$

$$E = \frac{3V}{2\pi^2 V_s^3} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\omega^2 \hbar \omega}{e^{\beta \hbar \omega} - 1} d\omega$$

- total number of degrees of freedom = $3N$

(2)

$$\int_0^{\omega_d} g(\omega) d\omega = 3N, \quad N \equiv \# \text{ atoms}$$

$\omega_d \equiv$ Debye frequency

• solving the integral gives

$$\omega_d = (6\pi^2 n)^{1/3}, \quad n = N/V \text{ (density)}$$

$$C_v = \frac{2E}{2T}, \quad \text{where } E = \frac{3V}{2\pi^2 v_s^3} \int_0^{\omega_d} \frac{\hbar \omega^3}{e^{\beta \hbar \omega} - 1} d\omega$$

$$\Rightarrow C_v = \frac{3V}{2\pi^2 v_s^3} \frac{\hbar^2}{k_B T^2} \int_0^{\omega_d} \frac{\omega^4 e^{\beta \hbar \omega}}{(e^{\beta \hbar \omega} - 1)} d\omega$$

• let $x = \frac{\hbar \omega}{k_B T} = \beta \hbar \omega$

$$C_v = 9R \left(\frac{T}{T_0} \right)^3 \int_0^{T_0/T} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx$$

→ some #

$C_v \sim T^3$, matching low T behavior //

③

- Some limitations of Debye's theory:

- (1) $\omega = v k$ does not always hold

- (2) only accurate for low T

- (3) Cannot account for $C_v \propto T$ for very low T in metals

- For metals, electrons are "free" & contribute to C by gaining energy from added heat

- We see that $C_v = \gamma T + \alpha T^3$ for metals shows dominance in the linear term when T is very small as $T^3 \rightarrow 0$ for $T \ll 1$

- At this point, we could move on to correct Debye's theory or we could look at how electrons contribute to C_v

- in accordance with the book, we will do the latter //

(4)

- So far in this class we have neglected the other major component of solids, the electron
- We first study a classical theory of metals called Drude theory
- We don't ask the question of why metals are conductive (yet), but what are the properties?
- the theory is remarkably simple (on its foundation is) as it utilizes kinetic theory of gas but the gas is charged (responds to fields)
- Drude theory makes 3 assumptions
 - (1) the probability of scattering in a time Δt is $\Delta t/\tau$, where τ is the scattering time (mean free path time)
 - (2) after a scattering event, the electron returns to $\vec{p} = 0$
 - (3) with charge $-e$, the "gas" responds to $\vec{E}$ & $\vec{B}$ - fields

5

- (1) + (2) come directly from Boltzmann's theory of gas (kinetic theory) $\langle \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \rangle = \frac{3}{2}k_B T$
- it is possible to calculate τ for neutral gas, but the calculation is complex for charged particles
- the particles returning to $\vec{p} = 0$ seems dubious, and is, but conserving $\vec{p}$ makes calculation much more complex
- we start by assigning an electron in the gas with a momentum $\vec{p}$ at time t
- What is $\vec{p}$ at $t + dt$
- probability dt/τ scattering occurs & $\vec{p} = 0$
- if no scattering, e^- continues to accelerate
$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{F}$$
- when we combine these, we may obtain the equation $\rightarrow$

⑥

• we get:

$$\langle \vec{p}(t+dt) \rangle = \left(1 - \frac{dt}{\tau}\right) (\vec{p} + \vec{F} dt) + 0 \frac{dt}{\tau}$$

$\uparrow$ prob. of not scattering $\uparrow$ Force applied during dt $\uparrow$ prob. of scattering

$\vec{p} \rightarrow 0$

• note that $\vec{p}$ is the thermal average $\langle \dots \rangle$

• drop brackets $\langle \dots \rangle$

$$\vec{p}(t+dt) = \vec{p}(t) + \vec{F} dt - \frac{dt}{\tau} \vec{p} - \vec{F} \frac{dt^2}{\tau}$$

• ignoring $(dt)^2$ term $\rightarrow$ very small

$$\vec{p}(t+dt) - \vec{p}(t) = \vec{F} dt - \frac{dt}{\tau} \vec{p} \quad \cdot \text{divide by } dt$$

$$\Rightarrow d\vec{p}/dt = \vec{F} - \vec{p}/\tau$$

(7)

- we may interpret the $\frac{\vec{p}}{\tau}$ as a

"drag" force from interactions with the lattice

- What do we expect to happen in the absence of a force?

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -\frac{\vec{p}}{\tau}$$

$$\vec{p}(t) = \vec{p}_0 e^{-t/\tau}, \text{ as expected}$$

which means momentum decays exponentially, as was built into the model

- the EM force is $\vec{F} = -e(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B})$

- let's look at $\vec{E}$ -field only

- at steady state $\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = 0$

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -e\vec{E} - \frac{\vec{p}}{\tau} = 0 \Rightarrow$$

⑧

$$\vec{p} = -e\tau\vec{E} = m\vec{v}$$

- current is given by

$$\vec{J} = -en\vec{v}, \quad n = e^- \text{-density}$$

• recall $J \rightarrow \frac{C}{m^2} \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \frac{C}{m^2}$

$$\vec{J} = -en \left(\frac{-e\tau}{m} \right) \vec{E}$$

- recall Ohm's law

$$\vec{J} = \sigma \vec{E}$$

so $\sigma = \frac{e^2 \tau n}{m}$, is the conductivity of the medium

- Since we know e^- & m ,

we can determine τn by
measuring σ (in the lab)

Debye vs Einstein model for specific heat of silver

